

A Problem in Group Theory

Gerhard Pfister

`pfister@mathematik.uni-kl.de`

Departement of Mathematics

University of Kaiserslautern

Problem: Characterize the class of **finite solvable groups** G by 2–variable identities.

Problem: Characterize the class of **finite solvable groups** G by 2–variable identities.

Example:

- G is **abelian** $\Leftrightarrow xy = yx \forall x, y \in G$
- (Zorn, 1930) A finite group G is **nilpotent** $\Leftrightarrow \exists n \geq 1$, such that $v_n(x, y) = 1 \forall x, y \in G$
(Engel Identity)

$$v_1 := [x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \text{ (commutator)}$$

$$v_{n+1} := [v_n, y]$$

Let G be a finite group

$$G^{(1)} := [G, G] = \langle aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G \rangle .$$

Let $G^{(i)} := [G^{(i-1)}, G]$, then G is called **nilpotent**, if $G^{(m)} = \{e\}$ for a suitable m .

Let G be a finite group

$$G^{(1)} := [G, G] = \langle aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G \rangle .$$

Let $G^{(i)} := [G^{(i-1)}, G]$, then G is called **nilpotent**, if $G^{(m)} = \{e\}$ for a suitable m .

- abelian groups are nilpotent.
- if the order of the group is a power of a prime it is nilpotent.
- G ist nilpotent \Leftrightarrow it is the direct product of its Sylow groups.
- S_3 is not nilpotent.

Let

$$G^{(i)} := [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}],$$

then G is called **solvable**, if $G^{(m)} = \{e\}$ for a suitable m .

Let

$$G^{(i)} := [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}],$$

then G is called **solvable**, if $G^{(m)} = \{e\}$ for a suitable m .

- nilpotente groups are solvable.
- S_3, S_4 are solvable.
- groups of odd order are solvable.
- S_5, A_5 are not solvable.

Theorem (T. Bandman, G.-M. Greuel, F. Grunewald, B. Kunyavsky, G. Pfister, E. Plotkin)

$$U_1 = U_1(x, y) := x^2 y^{-1} x,$$

$$U_{n+1} = U_{n+1}(x, y) = [xU_n x^{-1}, yU_n y^{-1}].$$

A finite group G is **solvable** $\Leftrightarrow \exists n$, such that $U_n(x, y) = 1 \forall x, y \in G$.

Theorem (T. Bandman, G.-M. Greuel, F. Grunewald, B. Kunyavsky, G. Pfister, E. Plotkin)

$$U_1 = U_1(x, y) := x^2 y^{-1} x,$$

$$U_{n+1} = U_{n+1}(x, y) = [xU_n x^{-1}, yU_n y^{-1}].$$

A finite group G is **solvable** $\Leftrightarrow \exists n$, such that $U_n(x, y) = 1 \forall x, y \in G$.

- $U_1(x, y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow y = x^{-1}$
- $U_1(x, y) = U_2(x, y)$
 $\Leftrightarrow x^{-1} y x^{-1} y^{-1} x^2 = y x^{-2} y^{-1} x y^{-1}$
- **Let $x, y \in G$ such that $y \neq x^{-1}$ and $U_1(x, y) = U_2(x, y) \Rightarrow U_n(x, y) \neq 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.**

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

Idea of \Leftarrow

Theorem (Thompson, 1968)

Let G minimally not solvable. Then G is one of the following groups:

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

Idea of \Leftarrow

Theorem (Thompson, 1968)

Let G minimally not solvable. Then G is one of the following groups:

- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_p), p a prime number ≥ 5

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

Idea of \Leftarrow

Theorem (Thompson, 1968)

Let G minimally not solvable. Then G is one of the following groups:

- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_p), p a prime number ≥ 5
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{3^p}), p a prime number

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

Idea of \Leftarrow

Theorem (Thompson, 1968)

Let G minimally not solvable. Then G is one of the following groups:

- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_p), p a prime number ≥ 5
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{3^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(3, \mathbb{F}_3)

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

Idea of \Leftarrow

Theorem (Thompson, 1968)

Let G minimally not solvable. Then G is one of the following groups:

- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_p), p a prime number ≥ 5
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{3^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(3, \mathbb{F}_3)
- **Sz**(2^p) p a prime number.

G solvable \Rightarrow Identity is true (by definition).

Idea of \Leftarrow

Theorem (Thompson, 1968)

Let G minimally not solvable. Then G is one of the following groups:

- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_p), p a prime number ≥ 5
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{2^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(2, \mathbb{F}_{3^p}), p a prime number
- **PSL**(3, \mathbb{F}_3)
- **Sz**(2^p) p a prime number.

It is enough to prove (for G in Thompson's list): $\exists x, y \in G$, such that $y \neq x^{-1}$ and $U_1(x, y) = U_2(x, y)$.

Let w be a word in X, Y, X^{-1}, Y^{-1} and

$$U_1 = w$$

$$U_{n+1} = [XU_nX^{-1}, YU_nY^{-1}].$$

Let w be a word in X, Y, X^{-1}, Y^{-1} and

$$U_1 = w$$

$$U_{n+1} = [XU_nX^{-1}, YU_nY^{-1}].$$

A Computer-search through the 10,000 shortest words in X, X^{-1}, Y, Y^{-1} found the following four words such that the equation $U_1 = U_2$ has a non-trivial solution in $\text{PSL}(2, p)$ for all $p < 1000$:

$$w_1 = X^{-2}Y^{-1}X$$

$$w_2 = X^{-1}YXY^{-1}X$$

$$w_3 = Y^{-2}X^{-1}$$

$$w_4 = XY^{-2}X^{-1}YX^{-1}$$

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, K) = \mathrm{SL}(2, K) / \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a^2 = 1 \right\}$$

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, K) = \mathrm{SL}(2, K) / \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a^2 = 1 \right\}$$

especially

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_5) = \left\{ \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right], a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12} = 1 \right\}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4a_{11} & 4a_{12} \\ 4a_{21} & 4a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\} .$$

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, K) = \mathrm{SL}(2, K) / \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a^2 = 1 \right\}$$

especially

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_5) = \left\{ \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right], a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12} = 1 \right\}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4a_{11} & 4a_{12} \\ 4a_{21} & 4a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\} .$$

It holds:

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_5) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_4) \cong A_5$$

Let us consider $G = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$, $p \geq 5$

Let us consider $G = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$, $p \geq 5$

Consider the matrices

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 1 + bc \end{pmatrix}$$

$x^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix}$ implies $y \neq x^{-1}$ for all $(b, c, t) \in \mathbb{F}_p^3$.

Let us consider $G = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$, $p \geq 5$

Consider the matrices

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} t & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ c & 1 + bc \end{pmatrix}$$

$x^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix}$ implies $y \neq x^{-1}$ for all $(b, c, t) \in \mathbb{F}_p^3$.

It is enough to prove that the equation

$$U_1(x, y) = U_2(x, y), \text{ i.e.} \\ x^{-1}yx^{-1}y^{-1}x^2 = yx^{-2}y^{-1}xy^{-1}$$

has a solution $(b, c, t) \in \mathbb{F}_p^3$.

The entries of $U_1(x, y) - U_2(x, y)$ are the following polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[b, c, t]$ Let $I = \langle p_1, \dots, p_4 \rangle$ and $I^{(p)}$ the induced ideal over \mathbb{Z}/p :

$$p_1 = b^3 c^2 t^2 + b^2 c^2 t^3 - b^2 c^2 t^2 - bc^2 t^3 - b^3 ct + b^2 c^2 t + b^2 ct^2 + 2bc^2 t^2 \\ + bct^3 + b^2 c^2 + b^2 ct + bc^2 t - bct^2 - c^2 t^2 - ct^3 - b^2 t + bct + c^2 t \\ + ct^2 + 2bc + c^2 + bt + ct + c + 1$$

$$p_2 = -b^3 ct^2 - b^2 ct^3 + b^2 c^2 t + bc^2 t^2 + b^3 t - b^2 ct - 2bct^2 - b^2 c + bct \\ + c^2 t + ct^2 - bt - ct - b - c - 1$$

$$p_3 = b^3 c^3 t^2 + b^2 c^3 t^3 - b^2 c^2 t^3 - bc^2 t^4 - b^3 c^2 t + b^2 c^3 t + b^2 c^2 t^2 \\ + 2bc^3 t^2 + bc^2 t^3 + b^2 c^2 t + b^2 ct^2 + bc^2 t^2 - c^2 t^3 - ct^4 - 2b^2 ct \\ + bc^2 t + c^3 t + bct^2 + 2c^2 t^2 + ct^3 - b^2 c - b^2 t + bct + c^2 t + bt^2 \\ + 3ct^2 + bc - bt - b - c + 1$$

$$p_4 = -b^3 c^2 t^2 - b^2 c^2 t^3 + b^2 c^2 t^2 + bc^2 t^3 + b^3 ct - b^2 c^2 t - b^2 ct^2 - 2bc^2 t^2 \\ - bct^3 - 2b^2 ct + c^2 t^2 + ct^3 + b^2 t - bct - c^2 t - ct^2 + b^2 - bt \\ - 2ct - b - t + 1$$

Theorem von Hasse–Weil (generalized by [Aubry and Perret](#) for
singulare curves):

Theorem von Hasse–Weil (generalized by Aubry and Perret for singular curves):

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an absolutely irreducible affine curve defined over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q and $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ its projective closure \Rightarrow

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq q + 1 - 2p_a\sqrt{q} - d$$

($d = \text{degree}$, $p_a = \text{arithmetic genus of } \overline{C}$).

Theorem von Hasse–Weil (generalized by Aubry and Perret for singular curves):

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an absolutely irreducible affine curve defined over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q and $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ its projective closure \Rightarrow

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq q + 1 - 2p_a\sqrt{q} - d$$

($d = \text{degree}$, $p_a = \text{arithmetic genus of } \overline{C}$).

The Hilbert–polynomial of \overline{C} , $H(t) = d \cdot t - p_a + 1$, can be computed using the ideal I_h of \overline{C} :

We obtain $H(t) = 10t - 11 \Rightarrow d = 10, p_a = 12$.

Theorem von Hasse–Weil (generalized by Aubry and Perret for singular curves):

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an absolutely irreducible affine curve defined over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q and $\overline{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ its projective closure \Rightarrow

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq q + 1 - 2p_a\sqrt{q} - d$$

($d =$ degree, $p_a =$ arithmetic genus of \overline{C}).

The Hilbert–polynomial of \overline{C} , $H(t) = d \cdot t - p_a + 1$, can be computed using the ideal I_h of \overline{C} :

We obtain $H(t) = 10t - 11 \Rightarrow d = 10, p_a = 12$.

Since $p + 1 - 24\sqrt{p} - 10 > 0$ if $p > 593$, we obtain the result.

Proposition: $V(I^{(p)})$ is absolutely irreducible for all primes $p \geq 5$.

Proposition: $V(I^{(p)})$ is absolutely irreducible for all primes $p \geq 5$.

proof:

Using **SINGULAR** we show:

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle : h^2 = I.$$

Proposition: $V(I^{(p)})$ is absolutely irreducible for all primes $p \geq 5$.

proof:

Using **SINGULAR** we show:

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle : h^2 = I.$$

$$f_1 = t^2b^4 + (t^4 - 2t^3 - 2t^2)b^3 - (t^5 - 2t^4 - t^2 - 2t - 1)b^2 \\ - (t^5 - 4t^4 + t^3 + 6t^2 + 2t)b + (t^4 - 4t^3 + 2t^2 + 4t + 1)$$

$$f_2 = (t^3 - 2t^2 - t)c + t^2b^3 + (t^4 - 2t^3 - 2t^2)b^2 \\ - (t^5 - 2t^4 - t^2 - 2t - 1)b - (t^5 - 4t^4 + t^3 + 6t^2 + 2t)$$

$$h = t^3 - 2t^2 - t$$

We give explicitly matrices M and N with entries in $\mathbb{Z}[b, c, t]$ such

that
$$M \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^2 p_1 \\ \vdots \\ h^2 p_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

We give explicitly matrices M and N with entries in $\mathbb{Z}[b, c, t]$ such

that
$$M \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h^2 p_1 \\ \vdots \\ h^2 p_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

We obtain for all fields K

$$IK[b, c, t] = (\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle K[b, c, t]) : h^2.$$

Schritt 2

f_2 is linear in c , it is enough to show, that f_1 is absolutely irreducible.

f_2 is linear in c , it is enough to show, that f_1 is absolutely irreducible.

algebraically the following is equivalent:

- $IK[b, c, t]$ is prime
- $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle K(t)[b, c]$ prime
- f_1 irreducible in $K(t)[b]$ resp. in $K[t, b]$.

f_2 is linear in c , it is enough to show, that f_1 is absolutely irreducible.

algebraically the following is equivalent:

- $IK[b, c, t]$ is prime
- $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle K(t)[b, c]$ prime
- f_1 irreducible in $K(t)[b]$ resp. in $K[t, b]$.

geometrically:

Curve $V(I)$ is irreducible, if the projection to the b, t -plane is irreducible.

Let $P(x) := t^2 J[1]|_{b=x/t}$ then P is monic of degree 4.

Let $P(x) := t^2 J[1]|_{b=x/t}$ then P is monic of degree 4.

$$x^4 + (t^3 - 2t^2 - 2t)x^3 - (t^5 - 2t^4 - t^2 - 2t - 1)x^2 - \\ (t^6 - 4t^5 + t^4 + 6t^3 + 2t^2)x + (t^6 - 4t^5 + 2t^4 + 4t^3 + t^2).$$

We prove, that the induced polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}_p[t, x]$ is absolutely irreducible for all primes $p \geq 2$.

(Using the lemma of Gauß this is equivalent to P being irreducible in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(t)[x]$.)

Ansatz

$$(*) \quad P = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + gx + d)$$

a, b, g, d polynomials in t with variable coefficients

$$a(i), b(i), g(i), d(i).$$

Ansatz

$$(*) \quad P = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + gx + d)$$

a, b, g, d polynomials in t with variable coefficients

$$a(i), b(i), g(i), d(i).$$

The decomposition $(*)$ with $a(i), b(i), g(i), d(i) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ does not exist iff the ideal \mathbb{C} generated by the coefficients with respect to x, t of $P - (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + gx + d)$ has no solution in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. This is equivalent to the fact that $1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

The ideal of the coefficients of C :

$$C[1] = -b(5) * d(3)$$

$$C[2] = -b(5) * g(2)$$

$$C[3] = -b(4) * d(3) - b(5) * d(2)$$

$$C[4] = -b(4) * g(2) - b(5) * g(1) - d(3) - 1$$

$$C[5] = -b(3) * d(3) - b(4) * d(2) - b(5) * d(1) + 1$$

$$C[6] = -b(5) - g(2) - 1$$

$$C[7] = a(0) * b(5) - a(2) * d(3) - b(3) * g(2) - b(4) * g(1) - d(2) + 4$$

$$C[8] = -a(0)^2 * b(5) + b(0) * b(5) - b(2) * d(3) - b(3) * d(2) - b(4) * d(1) - b(5) - 4$$

$$C[9] = -a(2) * g(2) - b(4) - g(1) + 2$$

$$C[10] = a(0) * b(4) - a(1) * d(3) - a(2) * d(2) - b(2) * g(2) - b(3) * g(1) - d(1) - 1$$

$$C[11] = -a(0)^2 * b(4) + b(0) * b(4) - b(1) * d(3) - b(2) * d(2) - b(3) * d(1) - b(4) + 2$$

$$C[12] = a(0) - a(1) * g(2) - a(2) * g(1) - b(3) - d(3)$$

$$C[13] = -a(0)^2 + a(0) * b(3) - a(0) * d(3) - a(1) * d(2) - a(2) * d(1) + b(0) - b(1) * g(2) - b(2) * g(1) - 7$$

$$C[14] = -a(0)^2 * b(3) + b(0) * b(3) - b(0) * d(3) - b(1) * d(2) - b(2) * d(1) - b(3) + 4$$

$$C[15] = -a(2) - g(2) - 2$$

$$C[16] = a(0) * a(2) - a(0) * g(2) - a(1) * g(1) - b(2) - d(2) + 1$$

$$C[17] = -a(0)^2 * a(2) + a(0) * b(2) - a(0) * d(2) - a(1) * d(1) + a(2) * b(0) - a(2) - b(0) * g(2) - b(1) * g(1) - 2$$

$$C[18] = -a(0)^2 * b(2) + b(0) * b(2) - b(0) * d(2) - b(1) * d(1) - b(2) + 1$$

$$C[19] = -a(1) - g(1) - 2$$

$$C[20] = a(0) * a(1) - a(0) * g(1) - b(1) - d(1) + 2$$

$$C[21] = -a(0)^2 * a(1) + a(0) * b(1) - a(0) * d(1) + a(1) * b(0) - a(1) - b(0) * g(1)$$

$$C[22] = -a(0)^2 * b(1) + b(0) * b(1) - b(0) * d(1) - b(1)$$

$$C[23] = -a(0)^3 + 2 * a(0) * b(0) - a(0)$$

$$C[24] = -a(0)^2 * b(0) + b(0)^2 - b(0)$$

Using SINGULAR, one shows that over
 $\mathbb{Z}[\{a(i)\}, \{b(i)\}, \{g(i)\}, \{d(i)\}]$

$$4 = \sum_{i=1}^{24} M_i C[i].$$

This case is much more complicated.
We have to prove that on a surface U any odd power of a certain endomorphism θ has fixed points.

This case is much more complicated.

We have to prove that on a surface U any odd power of a certain endomorphism θ has fixed points.

Here we use the **Lefschetz–Weil–Grothendieck trace formulae** generalized by [Deligne–Lusztig](#), [Th. Zink](#), [Pink](#), [Katz](#) and [Adolphson–Sperber](#):

$$2^n - b_1(U) \cdot 2^{\frac{3}{4}n} - b_2(U) \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \leq \# \text{Fix}(\theta^n, U)$$

for n sufficiently large.